

10539609

PrA  
6/2/08

Please replace the paragraph at page 34, lines <sup>6 13</sup>~~8-16~~, with the following rewritten paragraph:

(where  $[[v]]$   $y_e$  is the signal velocity and  $d$  is the distance between sensor  $j$  and sensor  $j'$ )

with the representative value included in set  $G_k$  indicating the variables  $SG_k$  extracted from temporary memory unit 90, and associates the representative value  $a_i$  closest to  $\theta_q$  with the  $q$ -th separated signal  $Y_{kq}$  (Step S12). In other words, permutation/scaling resolution unit 62-k applies tags  $\Pi_{kq}$  to the separated signals  $Y_{kq}$  representing the representative values  $a_i$  (thereby associating them with these representative values).

Please replace the paragraph at page 37, line 18 to page 38, line 3, with the following rewritten paragraph:

[0064] Also, in this embodiment, in situations where  $N$  ( $N \geq 2$ ) signals are mixed together and observed with  $M$  sensors, a smooth-profile mask is used to separate and extract the signals. Unlike the masks used in [Conventional method 2] (a binary mask with a value of 0 or 1), a mask with this smooth profile has a profile that extends smoothly at the edges. Consequently, if this smooth-profile mask is used, then even if there are two or more observed signals at the same frequency at a certain timing and the sample relative values are separated from the representative values  $a_1, \dots, a_N$  that the sample ought to correspond to, the mask for this position may have a nonzero value, and thus it is possible to extract more signals than with a binary mask whose value changes abruptly. As a result, it is possible to suppress quality degradation resulting from zero components being padded discontinuously into the separated signals.